

**SEATTLE CITY LIGHT
REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL #SCL-25730
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

QUESTION

The RFP contains a cost spreadsheet that doesn't show any deliverables. Will this information be populated or revised later?

ANSWER

This section should be completed by the supplier with an estimated number of hours and billing rates calculated out to a total cost to do the Scope of Work.

QUESTION

You had mention, IBEW signatory may be a preference, is there an advantage to bid through the union?

ANSWER

This is a preference but not a requirement to submit a proposal. It may become a requirement of the winning firm.

QUESTION

Please confirm that pricing for completion of the 7500 pole inspections and program manual creation is to be provided using hourly rates only.

Will additional unit rates for treatment be allowed, or should that cost be included in the hourly rates?

Will alternate pricing, using Unit Rates (rather than Hourly Rates) be permitted?

ANSWER

The Cost Spreadsheet is to contain a list of personnel by labor category (**no names**), a fully burdened hourly billing rate for each person, times an estimated number of hours to complete the scope of work. There is a section at the bottom of the spreadsheet for travel and other expenses that should include all costs besides labor costs. Travel and other expenses may not have a markup included.

QUESTION

The RFP Documents stat that "pricing is firm for 2 years" but the pre-bid call reference only a one-year agreement for the RFP. Please clarify if this will be contracted for one year only with an RFP for future years or if this RFP will be awarded for more than 2021 only.

ANSWER

"Pricing is firm for 2 years" is NOT applicable. Wherever this was found in the RFP is in error. Please review Section 3. Solicitation Objectives. The contract will be for one year with the potential that it will be extended for additional pole quantities.

QUESTION

Will alternate remedial treatments such as a compressed dazomet stick, as recommended in the Nelson Research Report recommendations, be accepted in the RFP response?

ANSWER

Dazomet is not currently an acceptable remedial treatment by SCLs senior environmental analyst that reviews potential treatments. There are environmental factors that are worrisome and therefore other treatments would be preferred. However, please include all treatment proposals as they will go through a review by SCL environmental SMEs that may or may not reject some treatments. This will be part of the first deliverable of developing the field manual that will lay out the entirety of the field methodology and processes, including which treatments are initially acceptable.